

## ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT SELF-DUAL SOLUTIONS TO EUCLIDEAN GRAVITY

Tohru EGUCHI

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA*

and

Andrew J. HANSON

*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

Received 6 February 1978

In an attempt to find gravitational analogs of Yang–Mills pseudoparticles, we obtain two classes of self-dual solutions to the euclidean Einstein equations. These metrics are free from singularities and approach a flat metric at infinity.

The discovery of pseudoparticle solutions to the euclidean SU(2) Yang–Mills theory [1] has suggested the possibility that analogous solutions might occur in Einstein's theory of gravitation. The existence of such solutions would have a profound effect on the quantum theory of gravitation [2,3]. Since the Yang–Mills pseudoparticles possess self-dual field strengths, one likely possibility is that gravitational pseudoparticles are characterized by self-dual curvature.

In fact it has been pointed out by Hawking [3] that the Taub-NUT metric [4], when appropriately continued to euclidean space–time, produces a self-dual curvature and hence is a possible candidate for a gravitational pseudoparticle. He has also given a generalized multi-Taub-NUT metric. However, these metrics do not approach a flat metric at infinity [5]. To see this, let us write the euclidean Taub-NUT solution as

$$(ds)^2 = [(R + m)/(R - m)] dR^2 + 4(R^2 - m^2)\{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + (2m/(R + m))^2\sigma_z^2\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$  form a standard Cartan basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x &= \frac{1}{2}(-\cos \psi d\theta - \sin \theta \sin \psi d\phi), \\ \sigma_y &= \frac{1}{2}(\sin \psi d\theta - \sin \theta \cos \psi d\phi), \\ \sigma_z &= \frac{1}{2}(-d\psi - \cos \theta d\phi), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

obeying the structure equations of the exterior algebra

[6],

$$d\sigma_x = 2\sigma_y \wedge \sigma_z, \quad (3)$$

etc. Here  $\theta, \psi$  and  $\phi$  are Euler angles on  $S^3$  with ranges  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \psi \leq 4\pi$ . Then it is easy to see that the above metric describes a distorted 3-dimensional hypersphere  $S^3$  for any fixed value of  $R > m$ .

Since a Yang–Mills pseudoparticle approaches a pure gauge at infinity and is interpreted as inducing transitions between topologically inequivalent vacua, one might require that gravitational analogs have a similar asymptotic behavior. In this letter we explore the possibility of gravitational pseudoparticles which possess a self-dual curvature and approach a flat metric at infinity. In the following we present two classes of such solutions. They are both singularity-free in the entire spacetime and their manifolds have a simple topological structure.

In deriving these solutions we exploit a particularly useful choice of gauge (local Lorentz frame). First we define a local orthonormal frame using the vierbeins  $e^a_\mu$ , and take

$$e^a = e^a_\mu dx^\mu. \quad (4)$$

In terms of the  $e^a$ , the metric is expressed as  $ds^2 = (e^0)^2 + (e^1)^2 + (e^2)^2 + (e^3)^2$ . Then the connection one-form  $\omega^a_b$  is defined by

$$de^a = -\omega^a_b \wedge e^b, \quad \omega^a_b = -\omega^b_a. \quad (5)$$

Latin indices are raised and lowered by a flat metric. Then we define the curvature two-form by

$$R^a_b = d\omega^a_b + \omega^a_c \wedge \omega^c_b. \quad (6)$$

Now we note that if  $\omega^a_b$  is self-dual,

$$\omega^0_1 = -\omega^2_3, \quad (7)$$

etc., then  $R^a_b$  is self-dual. This follows directly from the definition (6) of  $R^a_b$ . Since any self-dual curvature gives a vanishing Ricci tensor, any metric yielding a self-dual connection is a solution to the Einstein equation. On the other hand, it is easy to show that any self-dual curvature can be obtained, by a suitable change of gauge, from a metric yielding a self-dual connection<sup>†1</sup>. In this "self-dual gauge", the problem of finding a self-dual solution to the Einstein equation [7] is therefore reduced to one of finding self-dual connections and hence solving first-order differential equations generated by eq. (5). This is quite analogous to the Yang-Mills case [1].

In the following we consider two types of metrics having axial symmetry as in the Taub-NUT case<sup>‡2</sup>:

$$I: (ds)^2 = f^2(r) dr^2 + r^2 g^2(r) (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2) + r^2 \sigma_z^2, \quad (8)$$

$$II: (ds)^2 = f^2(r) dr^2 + r^2 (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2) + r^2 g^2(r) \sigma_z^2. \quad (9)$$

Here we consider these metrics directly in the euclidean space and do not regard them as a result of some continuation from the Minkowski regime. Asymptotic flatness requires that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) = 1. \quad (10)$$

Taking as our orthonormal frames

$$I: e^a = (f(r) dr, rg(r)\sigma_x, rg(r)\sigma_y, r\sigma_z), \quad (11)$$

$$II: e^a = (f(r) dr, r\sigma_x, r\sigma_y, rg(r)\sigma_z), \quad (12)$$

<sup>†1</sup> The proof involves decomposing any given spin connection  $\omega^a_b$  into self-dual and anti-self-dual parts. If  $R^a_b$  is self-dual, the anti-self-dual part of  $\omega^a_b$  is a pure O(4) gauge transformation,  $\Lambda^a_c(d\Lambda^{-1})^c_b$ , and can be gauged away.

<sup>‡2</sup> The spherically symmetric ansatz,  $ds^2 = f^2 dr^2 + r^2 g^2 (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \sigma_z^2)$ , leads to a trivially flat metric when we impose self-duality.

we find after some simple algebra that the self-duality of the connection implies

$$I: g^2 = f(2g^2 - 1), \quad f = g(g + rg'), \quad (13)$$

$$II: fg = 1, \quad f(2 - g^2) = g + rg'. \quad (14)$$

Asymptotically flat solutions are given, respectively, by

$$I: f(r) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + [1 - (a/r)^4]^{-1/2}), \quad (15)$$

$$g(r) = \{\frac{1}{2}(1 + [1 - (a/r)^4]^{1/2})\}^{1/2}, \quad (16)$$

$$II: g(r) = f^{-1}(r) = [1 - (a/r)^4]^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

where  $a$  is an integration constant. The curvature components of case II are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^0_1 &= -R^2_3 = -(2a^4/r^6)(e^0 \wedge e^1 - e^2 \wedge e^3), \\ R^0_2 &= -R^3_1 = -(2a^4/r^6)(e^0 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^1), \\ R^0_3 &= -R^1_2 = +(4a^4/r^6)(e^0 \wedge e^3 - e^1 \wedge e^2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The curvatures for case I have the same algebraic form with the replacement

$$2a^4/r^6 \rightarrow -a^4/2r^6g^6. \quad (19)$$

Hence in both cases the curvatures are regular everywhere for  $r \geq a$  and fall off like  $1/r^6$  at infinity. For comparison, we note that the Taub-NUT curvature produced by eq. (1) is obtained by the replacement

$$2a^4/r^6 \rightarrow m/(R + m)^3, \quad (20)$$

and thus goes like  $1/R^3$  at infinity.

The manifolds described by the above metrics have the topology  $R \times S^3$ . Although the metrics have an apparent singularity at  $r = a$ , it can be eliminated by a change of variable,

$$u^2 = r^2(1 - (a/r)^4). \quad (21)$$

For instance the solution II now takes the form

$$(ds)^2 = du^2/(1 + (a/r)^4)^2 + u^2 \sigma_z^2 + r^2 (\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2). \quad (22)$$

Our next task is to compute topological invariants of the manifold. Here, as in the Taub-NUT case [8], we have to be careful about possible contributions from the boundary of the manifold.

$\hat{A}$ -genus (axial anomaly). The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer theorem [9] gives the  $\hat{A}$ -genus of the manifold  $[r_1, r_2] \times S^3$  as

$$\hat{A}(r_1, r_2) = \hat{A}_{\text{vol}} - (\hat{A}_{\text{surf}} + \frac{1}{2}(h_D + \eta_D))|_{r_1}^{r_2}. \quad (23)$$

$\hat{A}_{\text{vol}}$  is the volume integral of the Riemann curvature tensor contracted with its dual and  $\hat{A}_{\text{surf}}$  gives the contribution due to the deviation of the metric from a product metric on the boundary [10].  $h_D$  is the number of harmonic spinors of the Dirac operator restricted to the boundary and  $\eta_D$  gives its spectral asymmetry [9,11]. Using the formulas in refs. [8] and [11] we obtain

$$\hat{A}(r_1 = a, r_2 = \infty) = \frac{1}{4} - 0 + (-\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{12}) = 0, \quad (24)$$

for both solutions I and II. Thus these solutions by themselves will not induce chiral symmetry breakdown, just as in the Taub-NUT case [8].

*Euler–Poincaré characteristic* (trace anomaly). The Euler–Poincaré characteristic  $\chi$  is related to the thermal effects of gravitational pseudoparticles [3,12]. To calculate  $\chi$ , we apply the Chern–Gauss–Bonnet theorem [13],

$$\chi = \chi_{\text{vol}} - \chi_{\text{surf}}|_{r_1}^{r_2}, \quad (25)$$

where  $\chi_{\text{vol}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{surf}}$  are the analogs of  $\hat{A}_{\text{vol}}$  and  $\hat{A}_{\text{surf}}$  in eq. (23). Using the known formulas, we find for both solutions I and II the Euler characteristic <sup>†3</sup>

<sup>†3</sup> It appears that the manifold of solution II can be compactified by adding an  $S^2$  at  $r = a$ . In this case (see eq. (22)) the manifold acquires the local topology of  $D^2 \times S^2$ ; since as  $r \rightarrow a$ , the  $D^2$  shrinks to a point, the manifold is homotopic to  $S^2$ . If we then omit the  $r = a$  boundary term in eq. (26), we obtain  $\chi = 4$ . However, we know  $\chi = 2$  for a manifold homotopic to  $S^2$ . Hence the Chern–Gauss–Bonnet theorem requires a “corner” correction in this case. A similar situation occurs if one puts a metric on a cone and tries to compute the Euler characteristic using the Gauss–Bonnet theorem without correcting for the apex. For solution I, analogous arguments indicate that the manifold compactified at  $r = a$  is homotopic to the manifold of  $SO(3)$ . Then the apparent Euler characteristic is 4, while the true value is  $\chi = 0$ . The compactified manifolds admit a spin structure because the second Stiefel–Whitney classes vanish [14]. However, in practice the “corners” may make it difficult to treat the Dirac operator on the whole manifold. If such an operator can be defined, the  $\hat{A}$ -genus (axial anomaly) would also require “corner” corrections. This problem is under study.

$$\chi(r_1 = a, r_2 = \infty) = 3 - (-1) + (-4) = 0. \quad (26)$$

This of course agrees with the combinatorial calculation for  $R \times S^3$ .

We observe that at large  $r$ , our curvatures fall like  $1/r^6$ ; in contrast, the euclidean Taub-NUT and Schwarzschild solutions fall like  $1/r^3$ . This suggests that our metrics describe gravitational “dipoles” while Taub-NUT and Schwarzschild describe monopoles. This is probably a sign that our euclidean solutions will not have a meaningful continuation to Minkowski space, as is the case for the Yang–Mills pseudoparticle.

We are deeply indebted to I. Singer for a number of informative discussions. This research was performed under the auspices of the Division of Physical Research of the U.S. Department of Energy.

### References

- [1] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwarz and Yu.S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. 59B (1975) 85.
- [2] T. Eguchi and P.G.O. Freund, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 1251; A.A. Belavin and D.E. Burlankov, Phys. Lett. 58A (1976) 7.
- [3] S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. 60A (1977) 81.
- [4] A. Taub, Ann. Math. 53 (1951) 472; E. Newman, L. Tamburino and T. Unti, J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 915.
- [5] C.W. Misner, J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 924.
- [6] S. Helgason, Differential geometry and symmetric spaces (Academic, 1962); H. Flanders, Differential forms (Academic, 1963).
- [7] For other treatments of self-dual gravitational fields, see e.g.: E.T. Newman, Gen. Rel. Grav. 7 (1976) 107; R. Penrose, Gen. Rel. Grav. 7 (1976) 31; J. Plebanski, J. Math. Phys. 16 (1975) 2395; C.W. Fette, A.I. Janis and E.T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. 17 (1976) 660.
- [8] T. Eguchi, P.B. Gilkey and A.J. Hanson, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978) 423; H. Römer and B. Schroer, Phys. Lett. 71B (1977) 182.
- [9] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi and I. Singer, Bull. London Math. Soc. 5 (1973) 229; Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 77 (1975) 43; 78 (1976) 405; 79 (1976) 71.
- [10] P.B. Gilkey, Adv. Math. 15 (1975) 334.
- [11] N. Hitchin, Adv. Math. 14 (1974) 1.
- [12] See, e.g.: M.J. Duff, Nucl. Phys. B125 (1977) 334.
- [13] S.S. Chern, Ann. Math. 46 (1945) 674.
- [14] J. Milnor, Lectures on characteristic classes (Princeton Univ. Lecture Notes, 1957).